Improving Seasonal Forecasting Using Probabilistic Deep Learning



Donald D. Lucas

Baoxiang Pan, Gemma Anderson, André Goncalves, Céline Bonfils, and Jiwoo Lee

28 March 2023







Deep learning is becoming competitive with dynamical seasonal forecast systems

Skill in predicting October-March average precipitation initialized from July



Observational period 1982-2012



Which map is from NOAA's North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME), and which is from deep learning of climate models?



This presentation provides an overview of our recent JAMES paper

















LUNL-PRES-817982

Our JAMES paper was motivated by a project to improve seasonal predictions of water resources in California



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

LNL-PRES-817982



Two recent papers suggested deep learning applied to climate simulations may be useful

Geophysical Research Letters Diagnosing Secular Variations in Retrospective ENSO RESEARCH LETTER 10.1029/2018GL080598 Seasonal Forecast Skill Using CMIP5 Model-Analogs **Key Points:** Hui Ding^{1,2}, Matthew Newman^{1,2}, Michael A. Alexander², and Andrew T. Wittenberg³ · Seasonal tropical Indo-Pacific Step 1: Search from existing cliamte simulations whose SST is close to observed SST Step 2: Apply their following season's states as analog forecasts Anomaly correlation (b) NMME-model analog (precip) (a) NMME-model analog (SST) 20N (d) CMIP5 best-10 analog (precip) best-10 analog (SST 20N 20S 120E 150E 180 60E 90E 120E 150E 180 150W 120W 90W 60W 60E 90E 150W 120W 90W 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

wrence Livermore National Laboratory

LNL-PRES-817982

Letter Published: 18 September 2019

Deep learning for multi-year ENSO forecasts

Yoo-Geun Ham ⊡, Jeong-Hwan Kim & Jing-Jia Luo

Nature 573, 568–572(2019) Cite this article

Step 1: Take predictor-predictand pairs from climate simulations.
Step 2: Build a convolutional neural network regression model.
Step 3: Apply few observations for *fine-tuning*.
Step 4: Apply the fine-tuned model for forecast.



Two recent papers suggested deep learning applied to climate simulations may be useful



vrence Livermore National Laboratory

I NI -PRES-817982

Letter | Published: 18 September 2019

Deep learning for multi-year ENSO forecasts

Yoo-Geun Ham 🖂, Jeong-Hwan Kim & Jing-Jia Luo

Nature 573, 568–572(2019) Cite this article

Step 1: Take predictor-predictand pairs from climate simulations.
Step 2: Build a convolutional neural network regression model.
Step 3: Apply few observations for *fine-tuning*.
Step 4: Apply the fine-tuned model for forecast.





Deep learning (DL) is a promising approach to help improve climate predictions

Deep neural networks can discover patterns or "features" automatically that humans cannot

Can keep memory effects and rich spatial structure

✓Observational data can be used more effectively ("data-driven" approach)

Can fully leverage pre-existing data

✓Offers huge speed up in time and resources

Complements traditional methods



Probabilistic approaches are required for seasonal forecasts



Dynamical forecasts

- Initialize from different states
- Incorporate multiple models
- Limited sample size due to computational costs

Deep learning forecasts

- Initialize at different points in continuous runs to learn seasonal dependencies
- Train on multiple climate models
- Use generative/variational methods to represent distributions



We developed the *Conditional Generative Forecasting* (CGF) system for seasonal forecasts

CGF is built using

Convolutional neural networks (CNNs)

- From computer vision
- Designed for maps and images

Autoencoders / U-Nets

- Effective at extracting low-dimensional patterns and features
- Non-linear generalization of Empirical Orthogonal Functions

Conditional variational methods

- Approximate probability distribution function (PDF) of the input / output data
- Enable drawing plausible samples from the PDF

Outputs: maps of Oct-March average *Inputs:* maps of ocean heat content in July (8 layers, 5-100 m) precipitation or air temperature





Autoencoders are related to Empirical Orthogonal Functions

Empirical Orthogonal Functions and Statistical Weather Prediction by EDWARD N. LORENZ MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF METEOROLOGY Cambridge, Massachusetts DECEMBER 1956

- EOFs are a technique used for extracting spatial and spatiotemporal patterns.
- EOFs are also known as Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Consider a set of monthly mean sea level pressure (SLP) maps



EOFs characterize ~80% of the variability in the North Atlantic with 3 modes instead of 968 grid cells (22 lat by 44 lon)





EOF analysis is a form of unsupervised learning

Example EOF analysis

N_images, N_pixels per image



- Trained EOFs on 350 images from the Olivetti face database
- Each image has 64 x 64 pixels (4096 total pixels)
- Retained 100 EOFs (explain 57.7% of the variance)



EOF analysis is a form of unsupervised learning

Example EOF analysis

N_images, N_pixels per image

Reconstructed

Actual



- Trained EOFs on 350 images from the Olivetti face database
- Each image has 64 x 64 pixels (4096)
- Retained 100 EOFs (explain 57.7% of the variance)

Autoencoders are related to Empirical Orthogonal Functions

EOFs



- The "O" in EOF implies the components in the reduced space are linearly related.
- Independent components are available for interpretation.
- Fast to train and relatively few samples needed, but many components may be needed for noisy data.



Autoencoders are related to Empirical Orthogonal Functions

Autoencoders



- Neural networks transform the data.
- Latent space features can be nonlinearly related.
- Interpretability can be challenging.

- Many samples needed and slow to train.
- Easy to augment latent space with additional information.
- Autoencoders with linear activation functions == EOFs.



Conditional Generative Forecasting (CGF) model

Encoding

Ocean heat (**X**) Input: 8 x 90 x 180 [~130,000] Latent space: 128 x 6 x 12 [~9,000]

Precipitation -or- Surface temperature (Y) Input: 1 x 90 x 180 [~16,000] Latent space: 128 x 6 x 12 [~9,000]

Embedding

GCM information (**M**) is concatenated into the latent space with a learned embedding vector

Probabilistic Forecasts

The latent space **z** is represented by a Gaussian distribution that depends on **X** and **M**, and a mapping function that relates **Y** to **z**.





Conditional Generative Forecasting (CGF) model

Encoding

Ocean heat (**X**) Input: 8 x 90 x 180 [~130,000] Latent space: 128 x 6 x 12 [~9,000]

Precipitation -or- Surface temperature (Y) Input: 1 x 90 x 180 [~16,000] Latent space: 128 x 6 x 12 [~9,000]

Embedding

GCM information (\mathbf{M}) is concatenated into the latent space with a learned embedding vector

Probabilistic Forecasts The latent space z is represented by a Gaussian

distribution that depends on **X** and **M**, and a mapping function that relates **Y** to **z**.





Conditional Generative Forecasting (CGF) model

Encoding

Ocean heat (**X**) Input: 8 x 90 x 180 [~130,000] Latent space: 128 x 6 x 12 [~9,000]

Precipitation -or- Surface temperature (Y) Input: 1 x 90 x 180 [~16,000] Latent space: 128 x 6 x 12 [~9,000]

Embedding

GCM information (**M**) is concatenated into the latent space with a learned embedding vector

Probabilistic Forecasts

The latent space **z** is represented by a Gaussian distribution that depends on **X** and **M**, and a mapping function that relates **Y** to **z**.





CGF used 52,201 simulated years from 30 climate models





Evaluation Strategy

Dynamical Forecasts

- North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME)
- Forecast anomalies of precipitation and 2-m temperature
- Four models, 10 ensemble members each (CCSM4, CESM1, CanCM4, GFDL CM2.5)

"Observations"

- *For comparison:* precipitation from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP)
- For comparison: temperature from ECMWF Reanalysis v5 (ERA5)
- For CGF input: ocean heat from ECMWF Ocean Reanalysis (ORAS5)

Metrics to assess forecast skill

• Deterministic:

 \Box 1. anomaly correlation coefficient

- Probabilistic:
 - 1. area under ROC curve

2. normalized root mean square skill

2. continuous ranked probability score







Evaluation Strategy

Dynamical Forecasts

- North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME)
- Forecast anomalies of precipitation and 2-m temperature
- Four models, 10 ensemble members each (CCSM4, CESM1, CanCM4, GFDL CM2.5)

"Observations"

- For comparison: precipitation from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP)
- For comparison: temperature from ECMWF Reanalysis v5 (ERA5)
- For CGF input: ocean heat from ECMWF Ocean Reanalysis (ORAS5)

Metrics to assess forecast skill

- Deterministic:
- \Box 1. anomaly correlation coefficient
- Probabilistic:
 - 1. area under ROC curve

2. normalized root mean square skill

continuous ranked probability score









Evaluation Strategy

Dynamical Forecasts

- North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME)
- Forecast anomalies of precipitation and 2-m temperature
- Four models, 10 ensemble members each (CCSM4, CESM1, CanCM4, GFDL CM2.5)

"Observations"

- For comparison: precipitation from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP)
- For comparison: temperature from ECMWF Reanalysis v5 (ERA5)
- For CGF input: ocean heat from ECMWF Ocean Reanalysis (ORAS5)

Metrics to assess forecast skill

- Deterministic:
- \Box 1. anomaly correlation coefficient
- Probabilistic:
 - 1. area under ROC curve

- 2. normalized root mean square skill
- 2. continuous ranked probability score





CECMWF



CGF is competitive with dynamical seasonal forecast systems

Forecast skill for 2-m temperature for CGF_{CanESM}

- Conditioned on a single GCM (CanESM)
- Anomaly correlation coefficients for October-March average initialized from July ocean heat



model simulations vs. observations

deep learning vs. dynamical system



CGF is competitive with dynamical seasonal forecast systems

Forecast skill for precipitation for CGF_{CanESM}

- Conditioned on a single GCM (CanESM)
- Anomaly correlation coefficients for October-March average initialized from July ocean heat



model simulations vs. observations

deep learning vs. dynamical system



CGF is competitive with dynamical seasonal forecast systems

Single model ensemble forecasts for CGF_{CanESM}





CGF is competitive with *multi-model ensemble* dynamical seasonal forecast systems

Forecast skill for precipitation for CGF ensemble

- Considers multiple models and multiple initializations per model
- Anomaly correlation coefficients for October-March average initialized from July ocean heat

60°E

120°E

 $CGF_{\mathcal{M}}$ for real-world forecast



Thirty dynamical models, ten ensemble members each

Optimal dynamical model (\widetilde{M}) with 300 realizations

120°W

60°W

Four dynamical models, ten ensemble members each







CGF's latent space can be interpolated, sampled, and explored

t-SNE for CGF precipitation (t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding)





Visualizing Autoencoders with Tensorflow.js



https://douglasduhaime.com/posts/visualizing-latent-spaces.html





Summary and conclusions

- Deep learning can help with climate modeling and seasonal forecasting.
 - e.g., autoencoders are non-linear generalizations of Empirical Orthogonal Function analysis
 - generative models can capture distributions of data for probabilistic models and ensembles
- We built a deep learning-based *Conditional Generative Forecast* (CGF) model.
 - Uses conditional variational autoencoder technology.
 - Skillful in predicting Oct-Mar precipitation and temperature initialized from July ocean heat content (relative to dynamical ensemble forecasts).
 - Incorporates probability distributions of the initial state and multi-model ensembles.
- Work is on-going to better understand sources of predictability at the seasonal time scale using deep learning systems.
 - E.g., using saliency maps and analysis
- See Pan et al (2021) in JAMES for related work using Generative Adversarial Networks to bias correct climate projections.





Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Architecture of CGF

(a) Structure of the Conditional Generative Forecasting (CGF) model



