

Explainable / Interpretable AI for Climate Science



Dr. Elizabeth A. Barnes Associate Professor Department of Atmospheric Science Colorado State University



ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCE

Prof. Elizabeth A. Barnes eabarnes@colostate.edu https://barnes.atmos.colostate.edu @atmosbarnes WCRP Workshop on Future of Climate Modeling March 22, 2022





ML for Climate Science

The field's interest, and research, has exploded in the past ~3 years!

Applications of ML for atmospheric science dates back as far as the 1960's!

Range of applications:

- global weather prediction
- convective & radiative parameterizations
- downscaling
- extreme event detection
- data reconstruction
- weather prediction
- processing of remote sensing data

Climate Reconstruction

e.g. Kadow et al. (2020), DelSole and Nedza (2020)



Kadow et al. (2020)

Equation discovery

e.g. Zanna and Bolton (2020)



Climate change communication



Weather Prediction

e.g. Gagne et al. (2019); Gagne et al. (2017); Chattopadhyay et al. (2019); Lagerquist et al. (2020)



Convective parameterizations

e.g. Rasp et al. (2018; PNAS); Schneider et al. (2017; GRL); O'Gorman and Dwyer (2018); Beucler et al. (2020; PRL)





Reasons to use AI for climate science

• Do it better

 e.g. convective parameterizations in models are not perfect, use ML to make them more accurate

• Do it faster or cheaper

• e.g. radiation code in models is very slow - use ML methods to speed things up

Learn something new

• e.g. go looking for non-linear relationships you didn't know were there

Very relevant for research: may be slower and worse, but can still learn something (more to come on this...)



Opening the "Black Box"

Leveraging advances in explainable / interpretable AI





Opening the Black Box

In the past few years multiple papers have come out demonstrating the use of ML explainability methods for geoscience

arxiv > physics > arXiv:2103.10005

Physics > Geophysics

Submitted on 18 Mar 20211

Neural Network Attribution Methods for Problems in Geoscience: A Novel Synthetic **Benchmark Dataset**

Antonios Mamalakis, Imme Ebert-Uphoff, Elizabeth A. Barnes

Despite the increasingly successful application of neural networks to many prob makes the interpretation of their predictions difficult, which limits model tra problem at hand. Many different methods have been introduced in the emer ar (iv > physics > arXiv:2202.03407 attributing the network's prediction to specific features in the input domain (like MNIST or ImageNet for image classification), or through deletion/inse derived ground truth for the attribution is lacking, making the assessment problems in geosciences are rare. Here, we provide a framework, based on benchmark datasets for regression problems for which the ground truth of dataset and train a fully-connected network to learn the underlying function attribution heatmaps from different XAI methods to the ground truth in on poorly. We believe that attribution benchmarks as the ones introduced her in the geosciences, and for accurate implementation of XAI methods, which



translation

neural network interpretation in meteorology has so far focused on networks for image

classification tasks, we expand the focus to also include networks for image-to-image

COLORADO STATE NIVERSITY

in final form 20 june 2019

Search...

Help | Advan

Physics > Geophysics Submitted on 7 Feb 20221

Investigating the fidelity of explainable artificial intelligence methods for applications of convolutional neural networks in geoscience

Antonios Mamalakis, Elizabeth A. Barnes, Imme Ebert-Uphoff

Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have recently attracted great attention in geoscience due to their ability to capture non-linear system behavior and extract predictive spatiotemporal patterns. Given their black-box nature however, and the importance of prediction explainability, methods of explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) are gaining popularity as a means to explain the CNN decision-making strategy. Here, we establish an intercomparison of some of the most popular XAI methods and investigate their fidelity in explaining CNN decisions for geoscientific applications. Our goal is to raise awareness of the theoretical limitations of these methods and gain insight into the relative strengths and weaknesses to help guide best practices. The considered XAI methods are first applied to an idealized attribution benchmark, where the ground truth of explanation of the network is known a priori, to help objectively assess their performance. Secondly, we apply XAI to a climate-related prediction setting, namely to explain a CNN that is trained to predict the number of atmospheric rivers in daily snapshots of climate simulations. Our results highlight several important issues of XAI methods (e.g., gradient shattering, inability to distinguish the sign of attribution, ignorance to zero input) that have previously been overlooked in our field and, if not considered cautiously, may lead to a distorted picture of the CNN decision-making strategy. We envision that our analysis will motivate further investigation into XAI fidelity and will help towards a cautious implementation of XAI in geoscience, which can lead to further exploitation of CNNs and deep learning for prediction problems.

Attribution methods produce a heatmap of the most relevant regions of the input for each prediction

Attribution heatmaps are largely consistent with how many climate scientists pose questions







COLORADO STATE



Attribution methods produce a heatmap of the most relevant regions of the input for each prediction

Attribution heatmaps are largely consistent with how many climate scientists pose questions







Attribution methods produce a heatmap of the most relevant regions of the input for each prediction

Attribution heatmaps are largely consistent with how many climate scientists pose questions

COLORADO STATE



Attribution methods produce a heatmap of the most relevant regions of the input for each prediction

Attribution heatmaps are largely consistent with how many climate scientists pose questions





Prediction

of 1 sample





Mayer and Barnes (2022)

Why you should care about XAI

As scientists our ultimate goal is to understand "why?". But even if you don't care "why" you should still care about XAI.



Why you should care about XAI

As scientists our ultimate goal is to understand "why?". But even if you don't care "why" you should still care about XAI.





Why you should care about XAI

As scientists our ultimate goal is to understand "why?". But even if you don't care "why" you should still care about XAI.





XAI for climate change & variability

Train a neural network to predict temporary slowdowns in global mean surface temp.

- ANN learns patterns of upper ocean heat content associated with decadal slowdowns in both climate model data and observations
- XAI reveals the ANN is learning off-equatorial patterns of anomalous ocean heat content that resemble transitions in the phase of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation



CORRECT SLOWDOWN PREDICTIONS







ocean heat content (0-100 m)

Labe, Z.M. and E.A. Barnes (2021), https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10508874.1



Other example uses of XAI (a) CSU (only a subset)

Subseasonal-to-decadal predictability





Fmilv Gordon

Martin





Frontera

Kirsten Mayer

Exploring subseasonal-to-decadal climate dynamics with implications for prediction, scientific mechanisms, and basic theory

Forced response of midlatitude dynamics





Connolly Understanding basic general circulation

responses to climate forcings [figure from Baker et al. 2017]

Indicator patterns of forced change



XAI benchmarking & robust predictions





Zack Labe Jamin Rader Prof. James Hurrell

Learn non-linear, time-evolving patterns of forced change in climate simulations and observations







Mamalakis Ebert-Uphoff

Nico Gordillo

Develop robust AI methods and benchmarks for XAI method evaluation and comparison



Exciting Frontiers

#1 Knowledge-guided machine learning

Continue fusing scientific knowledge and Al for climate science

- the availability of extensive existing knowledge
- the desire of Earth scientists to gain scientific insights rather than just "get numbers" from an algorithm
- the high complexity of the Earth system
- the limited sample size and lack of reliable labels in many Earth science applications
- improves transparency and trustworthiness

Make physics and ML work together



https://www.pxfuel.com/en/free-photo-oadru



#2 Transfer learning

Leverage imperfect climate model output through a transfer learning framework

For many earth science applications we have very little observational data

....BUT...

We have thousands of years of imperfect earth system model simulations from which ML tools can learn. Step 1: Train ML model with climate model simulations

Step 2: Update the ML model with data from observations





End Result: A trained prediction model that leverages dynamical simulations but applies better to the real world



#3 Improve climate projections

Bring ML methods into the building, evaluation and use of climate model projections

- There is great promise for improving climate models through ML-developed convective/radiative parameterizations
- ML for model **comparisons** and **evaluation** against observations.
- ML to explore **bias correcting / transforming** climate model projections to **narrow uncertainties**





Climate science requires the **mixing of knowledge** from many fields. And ultimately we want more than just a prediction - we want to know "**why?**"



Explainable / interpretable ML is a game changer for climate research.