# Dynamical and thermodynamical impacts of the AMV on European climate

Rym Msadek PhD work of Said Qasmi Coauthors: Christophe Cassou, Julien Boé CNRS/CERFACS, Toulouse, France

International conference on subseasonal to decadal prediction 17-21 September 2018. NCAR, Boulder, CO, USA

**E**CERFACS

Clifters

# Motivation : Link between AMV and European climate in observations

## AMV+ minus AMV -



Sutton and Dong (2012)

# Motivation : Link between AMV and European climate in observations

## AMV+ minus AMV -



#### In observations

- Yamamoto and Palter (2016): lack of teleconnection in winter because the thermodynamical response to AMV is masked by large atmospheric dynamics.
- O'Reilly et al. (2017) : Missing imprint of the ocean atmosphere coupling, suppressed by the atmospheric noise.

## In models

No link between winter Euro-Atlantic SAT and AMV in CMIP5 models





See also Gastineau and Frankignoul (2015), Davini et al. (2015), Ruprich-Robert et al. (2017) **Objective : Characterize the winter climate response to the AMV in the Euro-Atlantic sector in the CNRM-CM5 coupled model** 

- **1. Description of the coupled model experiments**
- 2. Winter climate response to the AMV over the Euro-Atlantic region
- Description : T2m and precipitation anomalies
- Mechanisms : decomposition into a dynamical and thermodynamical response
- 3. Conclusions

## Experimental protocole

#### **CNRM-CM5** coupled model

(Voldoire et al. 2013)

SST restored in the North Atlantic following the CMIP6 DCPP-C protocole (*Boer et al. 2016*)





- Observed AMV+ (AMV-) pattern added (subtracted) to the model climatology (preindustrial control run)
- 40 members of 10 yrs

3 sets of experiments : 1 X AMV, 2 X AMV, 3 X AMV : AMV+ and AMV- in each set

We call "response" = AMV+ minus AMV- averaged over the 40 members and 10 yrs

### Experimental protocole

SST restored in the North Atlantic following the Weak restoring of 40 W/m2/K CMIP6 DCPP-C protocole (Boer et al. 2016) (~ 2 months for a 50 m MLD) obs target for **Observed AMV pattern 3XAMV** 20.60 2XAMV 20.40 ŧ 60N **1XAMV** 20.20 S 30N (-1)XAMV 20.00 -0-(-2)XAMV 19.80 (-3)**XAMV** 0 30W 90W 60W 19.60 1xAMV 3xAMV 2xAMV -0.27 -0.18 -0.09 0 0.09 0.18 0.27  $^{\circ}C/\sigma_{AMV}$ 

Simulated SST after restoring to the AMV pattern weaker than the observational target

How does the winter climate response over the Euro-Atlantic region change with the strength of the AMV? Is it linear? What are the respective weight of the thermodynamical and dynamical components as the AMV forcing gets stronger?