



Forecasting springtime Sahelian heat waves at seasonal and sub-seasonal time scales

Lauriane Batté, Constantin Ardilouze and Michel Déqué

CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France

with contributions from Vincent Moron (CEREGE, Université Aix-Marseille/CNRS)



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

□ ► < □ ► < □ ►</p>



Framework



French ANR project ACASIS (2014-2018)

- Sahelian heat waves and impacts on health; coordinator : Serge Janicot
- Collaborative project involving several institutes in France, Burkina Faso and Senegal
- Encompasses the development of a prototype heat wave alert system at NWP and medium-range time scales

Context

- Focus on Tmax or Tmin heat waves during March to June
- Previous studies show positive trends
 - in temperature over the past decades (Fontaine et al. 2013, Moron et al. 2016)
 - as well as in HW indices (Barbier et al. 2018)
 - and future climate projections suggest increased vulnerability of the region (Russo et al. 2014, Déqué et al. 2017)



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >





Multiple definitions for heat wave indices (see e.g. Perkins, 2015)

- Some common indices (e.g. tropical nights) seem irrelevant for the area of study
- We use daily Tmin (resp. Tmax) climatologies (5-day smoothing) for MAMJ over the 1993-2014 hindcast period
- HW occurs if Tmin (resp. Tmax) exceeds 90^{th} percentile for > 3 days
- HW Duration Index (HWDI) : number of days in heat wave conditions per period
- HW Per Period (HWPP) : number of heat waves occurring per period

References for model evaluation

- Reference is ERA-Interim; full coverage of re-forecast period and spatial domain
- Similar results are found for Berkeley Earth Surface Temperature (BEST) data over 1993-2013
- Model HW occurrences based on model daily climatology to account for model biases
- These corrections notwithstanding, model HWDI and HWPP are biased
- Extremes/indices forecast skill is related to that of the variable (Bhend et al. 2017, Pepler et al. 2015)



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018



Heat wave indices : Apparent temperature



Apparent temperature

- Takes into account relative humidity (computed with 2m dewpoint temperature and temperature; Lee and Brenner 2015)
- Indicator of heat stress for humans (Fischer and Schär, 2010)
- Complementary to quantile threshold exceedances
- HW indices defined as for Tmin/Tmax but for absolute thresholds

Limitations

- Caveat : only daily data for dewpoint temperature is available in S2S forecast data
- Computation with Tmax would lead to over-estimation of apparent temperature values
- Compromise : use of daily mean fields and absolute threshold of 35°C
- Two indices : AT35 HWDI and HWPP



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト



Météo-France seasonal forecasting system 5



Météo-France system 5

- Based on the CNRM-CM GCM
- System used in several multi-model initiatives :
 - EUROSIP
 - Copernicus C3S
 - S2S (see Ardilouze et al. 2017)
- Upgrade in ARPEGE-Climate atmospheric component



System 5 based on CNRM-CM (Voldoire et al. 2013)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

		Re-forecasts (1993-2014)	Real time forecasts
Common features	Ensemble size	15	51
	Initial conditions	Atmosphere/land : ERA-Interim	Atmosphere/land : ECMWF analysis
		Ocean/sea ice : Mercator Ocean PSY2G2V3	Mercator Ocean upscaled op. analysis
Seasonal	Frequency and forecast length	1st of each month	Two Wednesdays
	Ensemble generation	stochastic dynamics	stochastic dynamics + 1 week lag
S2S	Frequency and forecast length	1st and 15th of each month	Each Thursday
	Ensemble generation	stochastic dynamics	stochastic dynamics





Reference data uncertainty





Climatologies of MAMJ Tmax and Tmin HWDI computed with ERA-Interim data for 1993-2014, and BEST for 1993-2013 (due to data availability).



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3



ACASIS

Spearman correlation of HWDI





Spearman rank correlation of MAMJ System 5 reforecasts for Tmax and Tmin HWDI with respect to indices derived from ERA-Interim (a-b) and BEST (c-d). Dots indicate correlation values significant at a 95% level as estimated by a t-distribution accounting for dependence between consecutive forecasts (Zwiers and von Storch, 1995).



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Apparent temperature





(a) AT35 HWDI ERA-Interim

Climatology of MAMJ AT35 HWDI computed with ERA-Interim data for 1993-2014, and Spearman rank correlation of System 5 reforecast MAMJ AT35 HWDI with ERA-Interim over the reference period.



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018



Skill for regional averages





Box-and-whisker plots of the ensemble reforecasts of Tmax, Tmin, and AT35 HWDI for MAMJ 1993-2014 (in red) and for the real-time forecast of MAMJ 2016 (orange) over Sahel (10N-20N,10W-20E). ERA-Interim index is shown in blue.



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

(日)



S2S skill for apparent temperature (April 1993-2014)





Weekly mean AT correlation against ERA-Interim according to lead time (weeks 1-4) for 1 and 15 April 1993-2014 re-forecasts with Météo-France S2S.

ANR ACASIS

Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Probability forecast verification for MAMJ 2016 season



P(Tmax HWDI > highest 20%)



P(Tmin HWDI > highest 20%)





ACASIS

ERA-Int Tmax HWDI obs. quintile



ERA-Int Tmin HWDI obs. quintile





< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



Weather type approach



Computation of weather types (V. Moron et al.)

- Based on daily NCEP 925hPa wind and sea-level pressure anomalies for FMAMJ 1967-2014
- Slightly larger domain : [0-30N; 40W-40E]
- PCA and k-means clustering approach
- Weather types 1 to 7 ranked according to corresponding mean thermal anomaly in station data over West Africa

















< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >





ANR ACASIS

Temperature anomaly composites - MAMJ



ERA-Interim Tmax



CNRM-CM Tmax

<ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



Weather types in MAMJ 2016 season



Weather type frequencies

- No skill in predicting weather type frequency anomalies
- A tool for understanding HW occurrence probabilities
- CNRM-CM fails to correctly simulate weather type duration (too short)



MAMJ 2016 case study

- Anomalies in ERA-Interim data show more WT3 and less WT7
- WT3 has somewhat alleviated impact of 2015/16 El Niño
- CNRM-CM saw increased frequency of WT7, over-estimating warm temperatures in coastal areas



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

< 同 > < 国 > < 国 >



S2S predictions : an April 2016 case study



Tmax and Tmin distributions

- Matam area (Senegal)
- Region around 15N 12W (4 gridpoints)
- Model shows very limited skill in hindcast
- Focus : 12-22 April 2016 heat wave

Evolution with lead time

- Particularly high Tmin anomaly
- Distribution sharpens with reduced lead time
- Some anticipation of event 3 weeks ahead



(a) Tmax





Weather types for April 2016 case study



"Klee diagrams" (Muñoz et al. 2016)

- Color-coding each WT
- Closest initialization already shows some spread between members
- WT6 anomaly not well captured by March start dates





(d) 24 March start



ACASIS Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018



Weather types between time scales





An extreme event during an anomalously warm season

- But WT anomalies during the event are different from seasonal anomalies
- WT frequency biases often similar between S2S for 12-22 April and seasonal re-forecasts for MAMJ
- Not so true for the 2016 case study : inter-seasonal variability
- Some anomalies for 12-22 April are only captured 1 week ahead (ex : WT4)





Do conclusions hold for Météo-France System 6?





Box-and-whisker plots of the ensemble reforecasts of Tmax, Tmin, and AT35 HWDI for MAMJ 1993-2014 (in red) and for the re-forecast of MAMJ 2016 (orange) over Sahel with System 6. ERA-Interim index is shown in blue.



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018



Main conclusions



Predictability of heat waves with Météo-France system 5

- Challenging region, time of year and forecast lead times
- Limited seasonal prediction skill for heat wave indices (in line with Tmax and Tmin skill)
- Sub-seasonal prediction skill remains fairly limited over the region
- At a regional scale, forecast for MAMJ 2016 was quite informative (conditional skill?)

Beyond model limitations?

- System 5 exhibits strong biases and poor skill in radiative fluxes and cloud cover over the region
- Using WTs as predictors would likely lead to no gain in skill with respect to direct model output for temperature

Batté L., C. Ardilouze and M. Déqué (2018) Forecasting West African Heat Waves at Subseasonal and Seasonal Time Scales. *Monthly Weather Review*, **146** 889–907. DOI : 10.1175/MWR-D-17-0211.1



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >





Thank you for your attention !

For more information on the ACASIS project : https://acasis.locean-ipsl.upmc.fr/



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> <同> < 同>

э



References



- Ardilouze et al. (2017) Subseasonal-to-seasonal (S2S) forecasts with CNRM-CM : A case study on the July 2015 west-European heat wave. Adv. Sci. Res., 14 115–121. DOI :10.5194/asr-14-115-2017.
- Barbier et al. (2018) Detection of intraseasonal large-scale heat waves : Characteristics and historical trends during the Sahelian spring. J. Climate, 31 61–80. DOI :10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0244.1.
- Bhend et al. (2017) Predictive skill of climate indices compared to mean quantities in seasonal forecasts. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 143 184–194. DOI :10.1002/qj.2908.
- Déqué et al. (2017) A multi-model climate response over tropical Africa at 2C. Climate Serv., 7 87–95. DOI :10.1016/j.cliser.2016.06.002.
- Fischer and Schär (2010) Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact European heatwaves. Nat. Geosci., 3 398–403. DOI :10.1038/ngeo866.
- Fontaine et al. (2013) Recent changes in air temperature, heat waves occurrences, and atmospheric circulation in Northern Africa. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118 8536–8552. DOI :10.1002/jgrd.50667.
- Lee and Brenner (2015) Perceived temperature in the course of climate change : An analysis of global heat index from 1979 to 2013. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, **7** 193–202. DOI :10.5194/essd-7-193-2015.
- Moron et al. (2016) Trends of mean temperatures and warm extremes in northern tropical Africa (1961–2014) from
 observed and PPCA-reconstructed time series. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121 5298–5319. DOI :10.1002/2015JD024303.
- Muñoz et al. (2016) Cross-time scale interactions and rainfall extreme events in southeastern South America for the austral summer. Part II : Predictive skill. J. Climate, 29 5915–5934. DOI :10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0699.1.
- Pepler et al. (2015) The ability of a multi-model seasonal forecasting ensemble to forecast the frequency of warm, cold and wet extremes. Wea. Climate Extremes, 9 68–77. DOI :10.1016/j.wace.2015.06.005.
- Russo et al. (2014) Magnitude of extreme heat waves in present climate and their projection in a warming world. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119 12 500–12 512. DOI :10.1002/2014JD022098.



Second International Conference on S2S prediction - Boulder, CO - 18 September 2018

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >