

Along Track Sea Surface Salinity from new ESA v500 processings (July 2010 month)

Major improvements with respect to previous versions are a decreased pollution by land and ice and decreased orbital and seasonal biases related to antenna temperature and to Sun corrections.

Given the bidimensional field of view of SMOS (Fig 1.), SSS along the satellite track is retrieved with a resolution of ~40km from the combination of more than ~100 brightness temperature (Tb) measurements of the radiometer allowing a simultaneous retrieval of SSS, wind speed and SST and their associated errors (Font et al., 2011). The error on these non averaged 40km SSS is on the order of 1; nevertheless they allow to detect large SSS gradients like the ones linked to river discharge (see the signature of the Amazone plume on Fig. 2).







SMOS samples the global ocean after 3 days. Averaging over 10 days or 1 month makes the SSS precision to improve to 0.2-0.6 (preliminary results) with better precision in the warmest regions. At global scale (Fig 3), while large oceanic features are well reproduced, blank areas (north Atlantic Ocean, Asiatic coasts, Mediterranean Sea) are due to bad consistency between SMOS Tbs along a dwell line and correspond mostly to regions affected by Radio Frequency Interferences (RFI). Some biases remain e.g. in the eastern Southern Atlantic Ocean along South African coast and close to ice in the Southern Ocean.

At regional scale, the rms error (= $\sqrt{(bias^2 + std diff^2)}$  between 10 day-100km SMOS SSS and ARGO SSS is 0.2 in a warm and non rainy region (Fig 4 top) and 0.4 in a rainy region (Fig 4, bottom). In the rainy region, the statistical distribution of the difference exhibits a slight negative tail, maybe the signature of a larger rain freshening closer to



Fig. 4: SMOS SSS averaged over 10 day-100km compared with ARGO SSS negative tail, maybe the signature of a larger rain freshening closer to measured between 5m and 50cm depth in July 2010. Top: north subtropical Atlanti the surface (as seen by drifters, poster by Morrisset, Reverdin et al.). Ocean (SPURS campaign region): 15N-30N, 45W-30W; Bottom: north tropical Atlantic region (ISN-15N, 150W-10W.



7 34 9 35 1 35 3 35 5 36 7 35 9 56

GLOBAL ARCTIC NORTH TROPICAL SOLTH NORTH TROPICAL SOLTH INDIAN OCEAN OCEAN ATLANTIC ATLANTIC ATLANTIC PACIFIC PACIFIC PACIFIC OCEAN 00090 10256 -00473 0.00698 0.00578 0.0137 0.0151 0.0151 OCEAN 0.2876

Fig. 6 : In Situ SSS minus SMOS SSS (see statistics of the comparisons in the Table). Large biases in the equatorial Pacific are linked to inaccurate modeling of roughness effect using ECMWF wind speed only.



 32
 34
 36
 32
 34
 36

 Fig. 7: SMOS SSS in the Gulf of Guinea in January 2010 (left) and May 2010 (left) fight): SMOS SSS CEC-OS allows to follow the spread of free hwater associated with large river discharges, even in this region affected by RFIs.

## SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES

New SMOS processings demonstrate the capability of retrieving SSS with a precision of ~0.3 on SSS averaged over 1° and one month after thorough filtering (e.g. RFIs). The advantage of an interferometer with respect to a real antenna radiometer is its large swath and its relatively high spatial resolution (~40km). In particular, fronts can be more precisely located. Using singularity analysis technique (Turiel et al., 2009), the Barcelona Expertise Center (BEC) has implemented a detection of SSS fronts which can complement and be improved from the detection of SST fronts (work in progress).

However the GODAE requirements (precision of 0.1-0.2 over 10 days-200km or 1 month-100km) are not fulfilled. Major improvements are expected from improved image reconstruction and direct modeling:

-SMOS is the first interferometer radiometer in space; a complicated image reconstruction process is necessary to retrieve brightness temperatures. Dedicated teams are working on improving this process.

-The modeling of the impact of roughness and scattered galactic signal onto L-band brightness temperature remain imperfect. Comparison of SMOS and Aquarius measurements should help refining this modeling.

More details about along track SMOS ESA processing available on www.argans.co.uk/smos/, about LOCEAN/IPSL SMOS Cal/Val activities on www.locean-ipsl.upmc.fr/smos. about CATDS processings on http://www.catds.fr/, about BEC processings on http://www.smos-bec.icm.csic.es/

an, and T. Loubrieu, 2009, Quality Control of Fort J. J. Boutin, N. Reul, P. Spurgeon et al., SMOS first data analysis for sea surface salinity determination, URS, in press, 2011; Gaillard, F., E. Autret, V. Thierry, P. Galaup, C. Coatanoan, and T. Large Argo Datasets, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 337-351, doi: 10.1175/2008JTECHO552.1; A. Turiel, V. Nieves, E. García-Ladona, J. Font, M.-H. Rio, and G. Larricol Ocean Science 5, 447-460 (2009)



Fig. 8: SMOS SSS at 0.25°-100 day resolution compared to drifters SSS (dayly means: large rain-freehening quarter running in the second state of t i-freshening events removed). Ins, the rms error remains <0.4.





Fig. 9: SSS fronts derived from SMOS SSS (left) and SST fronts (right) the tropical Atlantic Ocean. Oceanic fronts associated with the Amazon m SSS fro

Acknowledgements: This work is partly supported by the SMOS/ESA Level 2 project; we thank the ESA level 1 team for providing the reprocessed v500 SMOS data. It is also part of the SMOS/ESA Cal/Val projects, supported by CNES/TOSCA GLOSCAL contract. French drifter are funded by CNES and Matter France. thain the ESA teven i team for proving the reprocessed vsou swous data. of the SMOS/ESA Call/al projects, supported by CNES/TOSCA GLOSCAL French drifters are funded by CNES and Meteo-France. We thank ships crew deployments. We thank E. Brion for running ISAS analysis. BEC is supported grant AYA2010-22062-COS from Spanish R+D National Plan.