Inter-annual to multi-decadal Arctic sea ice extent trends in a warming world

GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 38, L15708, doi:10.1029/2011GL048008, 2011

Inter-annual to multi-decadal Arctic sea ice extent trends in a warming world

Jennifer E. Kay,¹ Marika M. Holland,¹ and Alexandra Jahn¹

Received 2 May 2011; revised 1 July 2011; accepted 8 July 2011; published 11 August 2011.

Jennifer Kay

National Center for Atmospheric Research Boulder, Colorado

June 21, 2011 MODIS visible image

Statistically significant Arctic sea ice extent loss is occurring in all months



Why has Arctic sea ice extent decreased during the late 20th century? What do we expect in the future?

(Let's use climate models, and assume they capture important processes influencing Arctic sea ice trends.)

Observed Arctic sea ice decline is "faster than forecasted" by CMIP3 models...



Some climate models can reproduce observed 1979-2005 Arctic sea ice loss...



1989 1992 1995 1998 2001 2004 2007 2010 Trend End Year (1979-X)

Observed



1989 1992 1995 1998 2001 2004 2007 2010 Trend End Year (1979-X)



1989 1992 1995 1998 2001 2004 2007 2010 Trend End Year (1979-X)

CCSM4 #1



1989 1992 1995 1998 2001 2004 2007 2010 Trend End Year (1979-X)



1%

0%

-1%

100%

95%

90%

Irend Significance

1989 1992 1995 1998 2001 2004 2007 2010 Trend End Year (1979-X)

CESM-CAM5 #1



Trend End Year (1979-X)

Many Arctic processes are well represented in CCSM4, but important biases remain.

(de Boer et al. accepted, Jahn et al. accepted)



Adapted from Jahn et al. (accepted)



Sea ice thickness distribution

Can natural processes alone explain the observed sea ice extent trends?



Figure modified from Kay et al. (2011)

CCSM4 has a large spread in 1979-2005 trends. One ensemble member reproduces observed ice loss, another member has no ice loss!



Dec

Nov

Oct

Sep

Aug

Jul

Jur

May

Ap

Mar

Feb

Jan

Dec

Nov

Oct

Sep

Apr

Mar

Feb

Jan



CCSM4 Trends

Figure modified from Kay et al. (2011)

Dividing the average CCSM4 trend by the observed trend suggests that internal variability explains approximately half of the observed 1979-2005 September Arctic sea ice extent loss.



Are six CCSM4 ensemble members enough to understand late 20th century Arctic sea ice trends?



Figure modified from Kay et al. (2011)

Can Arctic sea ice extent temporarily increase in a warming world?



Summary

- Statistically significant late 20th century September Arctic sea ice extent loss cannot be explained by natural processes alone.

A 6-member CCSM4 ensemble suggests that internal variability explains approximately half of the observed 1979-2005 September Arctic sea ice extent loss.
Because both internal variability and greenhouse forcing are important, large ensembles from credible climate models are needed to understand Arctic sea ice trends in a warming world.

September 9, 2011 MODIS image

EXTRA SLIDES

New data + Ice loss = New discoveries

No cloud response to summer sea ice loss, but cloud increases over newly open water during early fall.

Kay and Gettelman (2009)



How many ensemble members are required to detect a forced trend (Nmin)?



Nmin for detecting JJA differences between 2060-2051 and 2005-2014 from Deser et al. (2010) Four CCSM4 ensemble members are needed to detect a forced 1979-2005 trend in September Arctic sea ice extent (Nmin=4).

The 20th century Arctic sea ice loss in the 6member CCSM4 ensemble is consistent with a forced trend.

A new Arctic environment



Maps from NSIDC

median

1979-2000

ter, Boulder, CC

and Ice Data Cen

Declining sea ice creates new challenges and opportunities.