



### Quantifying the Impact of More Frequent and Stronger Extreme Climatic Events on Global Crop Yield

### Delphine Deryng Rachel Warren & Navin Ramankutty

d.deryng@uea.ac.uk

October 2011

# Impact of Climate Change

Global assessment of the impact of climate change on agricultural productivity

(Gornall et al., 2010, Nelson et al., 2009, Parry et al., 2005)

- 1. General decrease in global crop yield due to higher heat stress
- 2. Longer growing season in temperature-limited regions
- 3. Expansion of suitable cropland area in colder regions
- $\rightarrow$  Limited to evaluate the impact of change in mean climate
- Big uncertainties!

<u> - Tyndall</u>°Centre° 🛛 🔂

- 1. Farmers adaptation options
- 2. Impact of climate variability
- 3.  $CO_2$  fertilization effect
- 4. Indirect impacts:
  - increase risk of diseases
  - CC impact on water resources for irrigation





# METHOD

- Simulation at the global scale using a geospatially explicit crop yield model : PEGASUS
- Deryng et al. (2011): Benefits of farmers adaptation (choice of crop cultivars, planting dates decision) to change in mean climate



Maize Simulated Yield

# 1. PEGASUS

tor Climate Change Research

Predicting Environmental Goods And Services Using Simulations





### **Vegetation Dynamic**

Biomass production is calculated for each day from the emergence of the crop until harvest time

Biomass production is allocated to different part of the crop: leaves, stem, roots and grains



Dynamic allocation fraction throughout the crop development



### Temperature & Water Stress factors





## Adaptation Options

- 1. Planting date decisions are driven by mean climate conditions:
  - Temperature-limited regions: planting occurs when it gets warm enough to allow the plant to grow (spring-type crops)
  - Moisture-limited regions (not temperature-limited): planting occurs at the start of the rainy season

#### 2. Selection of crop cultivars:

- Different cultivars have different thermal time requirements
- Farmers select cultivars of a crop adapted to the local climate
- → Ex. cultivars grown in colder climates have smaller thermal time requirement, thus shorter growing period

Climate regions for planting decision







# 2. HISTORICAL YIELD ANALYSIS

- Climate data: NCC\* dataset to create daily data of temperature, precipitation, fraction of sunshine hours (Ngo-Duc et al. 2005)
- Period: 1961–2000 (analysis of FAO national average yield data)

\*NCC (National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research Corrected by Climate Research Unit) is the near-surface meteorological data with a 6-hourly time step from 1948 to 2000 and a spatial resolution of 1x1 degree over the land surface

# Inter-Annual Variability





# Inter-Annual Variability





# Daily Variability





### Farmers Adaptation Model Sensitivity Analysis

#### Irrigation under water stress



Ton/Ha	Adapting P/C P/C constar		
Mean	6.6	6.5	
SD	0.76	0.75	

Ton/Ha	Rainfed	No Water Stress	
Mean	6.5	7.8	
SD	0.76	0.97	

Adapting planting dates & choices of crop cultivars





Monthly Climate data from CIAS

💵 🚛 Tyndall°Centre 🕻 🔛

Community Integrated Assessment System (Warren et al., 2008)

- Global GHG emissions scenarios (Stern, SRES, RCPs)
- Global climate model emulator: MAGICC 6
- Downscaling climate module: ClimGen
- → 18 different Global Climate Models (GCMs)
- →4 Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) radiative forcing scenarios (Moss et al., 2010)
- →Monthly mean climate data from ClimGen



• Daily precipitation:

💶 🚛 Tyndall°Centre 🚺 🗛

- Is today wet or dry? Two-states first order Markov chain according to the number of wet days per month
- → Probability of precipitation today depends on yesterday weather condition: Today is more likely to be rainy if yesterday was rainy than if yesterday was dry!
- → Gamma distribution of precipitation centered on monthly average precipitation per wet day
- Daily temperature and fraction of sunshine hours
  - Multivariate model: mean and standard-deviation of each variable are tied to the wet or dry status of the day

Richardson's weather generator: Parlange & Katz (2000), Richardson and Wright (1984), Richardson (1981) Transition probabilities: Geng et al. (1986)



**Present:** CRU climatology (1961–1990) (New et al., 2000) **2050's:** 30-years climatology (2036–2065)

#### • RCP 3

Radiative Forcing peaks at 3.1 W/m<sup>2</sup> in 2050 Returns to 2.6 W/m<sup>2</sup> by 2100

#### • RCP 4.5

Radiative Forcing stabilized before 2100 (at 4.5  $W/m^2$ )

Tyndall<sup>°</sup>Centre<sup>®</sup> []-\

• RCP 6

Radiative Forcing stabilized after 2100 (at 6  $W/m^2$ )

• RCP8

High emissions level (8 W/m<sup>2</sup> by 2100) No stabilization Mean relative change with present





# **Preliminary Results**

Relative change: 2036–2065 / 1961–1990

RCP	GCM	ΔT (°C)	ΔP (mm/month)	ΔΥ (%)
RCP 3	NCAR_CCSM_3.0	+1.3	+1.31	-1.8
RCP 3	GFDL_CSM2_0	+1.8	-1.59	-6.4
RCP 6	GFDL_CSM2_0	+2.1	-1.67	-9.1
RCP 3	ECHAM 5	+2.3	+0.78	-9.2
RCP 4.5	GFDL_CSM2_0	+2.1	-1.69	-9.4
RCP 6	UKMMO_HADGEM1	+2.4	-1.77	-11.4
RCP 6	ECHAM 5	+2.6	+1.01	-12.1
RCP 4.5	ECHAM 5	+2.7	+1.05	-12.5
RCP 8	GFDL_CSM2_0	+2.5	-1.78	-13
RCP 8	ECHAM 5	+3.2	+1.42	-16.1
RCP 8	MIROC3.2_HIRES	+3.7	+0.85	-17.3

#### ECHAM 5



#### ECHAM 5



#### ECHAM 5





#### **RCP 4.5**



GFDL\_CSM2\_0

#### RCP 6

RCP 8





# SUMMARY

Effect of climate variability on global crop yield for Maize Analysis at different spatio-temporal scales:

- 1. Daily variability at the grid-cell level:
- → Biomass production is highly sensitivity to droughts on a daily time-step
- 2. Inter-annual variability at the regional scale:
- $\rightarrow$  Model results show higher variability than observation
- $\rightarrow$  Model very sensitive when adapting to droughts with irrigation
- → Planting dates decisions or choice of crop cultivars depend on mean climate (not very sensitive to climate variability)
- 3. 30-years climatology at the global scale:
- → Significant impact by 2050's even with adaptation (-2% to -17% decrease in maize yield globally)
- $\rightarrow$  Global scale levels out variability in the results
- → Global average strongly correlated to global increase in temperature
- $\rightarrow$  Impact of droughts better described regionally









# CONCLUSION

- Agricultural productivity is vulnerable to droughts
- PEGASUS simulates the effect of water stress on daily biomass production
- Challenges at the global scale in distinguishing between impacts of:
  - 1. changes in mean climate
  - 2. changes in climate variability
- Large uncertainties in crop yield simulations for the future reflect large uncertainties in future precipitation patterns among GCMs



## NEXT...

- Exploring further model inter-annual variability of crop yield at the regional scale (using historical and future climate data)
- Identifying heat stress versus water stress extreme events and their respective effects on biomass production in PEGASUS
- Running PEGASUS with all combinations of RCPs/GCMs scenarios
- Exploring regional precipitation variability and estimated crop yields
- Exploring the effect of agricultural adaptation options (mainly irrigation within PEGASUS)
- Including soybean and spring wheat in the analysis

. . .



Deryng, D. and R. Warren (in prep.)

Deryng, D., W. J. Sacks, C. C. Barford, and N. Ramankutty (2011), Simulating the effects of climate and agricultural management practices on global crop yield, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25, GB2006, doi:10.1029/2009GB003765 d.deryng@uea.ac.uk

# References

#### d.deryng@uea.ac.uk

- Deryng, D. and R. Warren (in prep.), Evaluating the impact and risk associated with more frequent and stronger extreme climate events on global crop yield.
- Deryng, D. et al. (2011), Simulating the effects of climate and agricultural management practices on global crop yield, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25, GB2006, doi:10.1029/2009GB003765.
- Geng, S. (1986), A simple method for generating daily rainfall data, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 36(4), 363–376, doi:10.1016/0168–1923(86)90014–6.

- **Gornall, J. et al. (2010)**, Implications of climate change for agricultural productivity in the early twenty-first century, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 365(1554), 2973–2989, doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0158.
- Moss, R. H. et al. (2010), The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment, Nature, 463(7282), 747, doi:doi:10.1038/nature08823.
- Nelson, G. C. et al. (2009), Climate change: Impact on agriculture and costs of adaptation, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI).
- Ngo-Duc, T., and J. Polcher (2005), A 53-year forcing data set for land surface models, JGR.
- Parlange, M., and R. W. Katz (2000), An extended version of the Richardson model for simulating daily weather variables, J Appl Meteorol, 39.
- Parry, M. et al. (2005), Climate change, global food supply and risk of hunger, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, B(360), 2125–2138.
- **Richardson, C. W. (1981)**, Stochastic simulation of daily precipitation, temperature, and solar radiation, Water Resources Research, 17(1), 182–190, doi:10.1029/WR017i001p00182.
- Richardson, C. W., and D. A. Wright (1984), WGEN: A model for generating daily weather variables.
  USDA Publication ARS-8, 83 pp. [Available from National Technical Information Center, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.]
- Warren, R. et al. (2009), The Community Integrated Assessment System CIAS, a new approach to distributed integrated modelling, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 6(49), 492013, doi:10.1088/1755-1307/6/9/492013.

# Comparison of simulated crop yields and corresponding observations



Tyndall°Centre<sup>®</sup>

UEA