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The finite volume discretization developed for the numerical approximation of the scalar conservation laws on a geodesic mesh described in [1] was extended to the shallow water equations on the sphere:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t}+(\zeta+f)(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{v})=-\nabla\left(g h+\frac{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}{2}\right), \quad \frac{\partial h^{\star}}{\partial t}+\nabla\left(h^{\star} \mathbf{v}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity field on the sphere, $\zeta$ is the vertical component of the vorticity, $f$ is the Coriolis parameter, $h^{\star}$ is the geopotential height, $h_{s}$ is the surface height, $h=h_{s}+h^{\star}, \mathbf{k}$ is the unit vector normal to the sphere, and $g$ is the gravity acceleration.

The system of equations (1) is discretized on a geodesic icosahedral grid composed of triangles with vertices located on the spherical surface (Fig. 1). The control volume, $\Omega_{i}$, associated with the $i^{\text {th }}$ node is created by a two step procedure. In the first step, the centers of the triangles and the mid-points of the edges are projected on the surface of the sphere. In the second step, $\Omega_{i}$ is defined as a polygon with the vertices located at the projected points (Fig. 2). The semidiscrete version of (1) can be written compactly in the following form:

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{u}_{x}  \tag{2}\\
\mathbf{u}_{y} \\
\mathbf{u}_{z} \\
\mathbf{h}^{\star}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\mathbf{G}_{x}\left(g\left(\mathbf{h}^{\star}+\mathbf{h}_{s}\right)+\mathbf{U}\right)-\mathbf{W}_{x}+\nu \mathbf{D}^{4} \mathbf{u}_{x} \\
-\mathbf{G}_{y}\left(g\left(\mathbf{h}^{\star}+\mathbf{h}_{s}\right)+\mathbf{U}\right)-\mathbf{W}_{y}+\nu \mathbf{D}^{4} \mathbf{u}_{y} \\
-\mathbf{G}_{z}\left(g\left(\mathbf{h}^{\star}+\mathbf{h}_{s}\right)+\mathbf{U}\right)-\mathbf{W}_{z}+\nu \mathbf{D}^{4} \mathbf{u}_{z} \\
-\mathbf{D}_{x}\left(\mathbf{h}^{\star} \mathbf{u}_{x}\right)-\mathbf{D}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}^{\star} \mathbf{u}_{y}\right)-\mathbf{D}_{z}\left(\mathbf{h}^{\star} \mathbf{u}_{z}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{\eta}, \mathbf{h}^{\star}$ are the vectors of the control volume average values of the velocity components and the geopotential height respectively $\left(\mathbf{u}_{\eta}=\left\{v_{\eta 1}, \ldots, v_{\eta N}\right\}, N\right.$ is the number of control volumes $), \mathbf{W}_{\eta}=(\zeta+$ $f)(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{v})_{\eta},(\eta \equiv x, y, z), \boldsymbol{\zeta}=\mathbf{Z}_{x} \mathbf{u}_{x}+\mathbf{Z}_{y} \mathbf{u}_{y}+\mathbf{Z}_{y} \mathbf{u}_{y}+\mathbf{f}_{c}, \mathbf{U}$ is the vector of grid cell averages of $v^{2} / 2$, and $\nu$ is the hyperviscosity coefficient. The operators in (2) are defined by the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathbf{D}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{i} & =\sum_{j(i)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{i}+\psi_{j(i)}\right)+\frac{L_{i j(i)}}{8}\left(\left.\mathbf{h}_{i j(i)}^{a} \nabla_{0}^{(0.25,0.75)} \psi\right|_{i}-\left.\mathbf{h}_{i j(i)}^{b} \nabla_{0}^{(0.25,0.75)} \psi\right|_{j(i)}\right)\right) \gamma_{i j(i)}^{\eta}  \tag{3}\\
\left(\mathbf{G}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{i} & =\sum_{j(i)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{i}-\psi_{j(i)}\right)+\frac{L_{i j(i)}}{8}\left(\left.\mathbf{h}_{i j(i)}^{a} \nabla_{0}^{(-0.75,0.75)} \psi\right|_{i}-\left.\mathbf{h}_{i j(i)}^{b} \nabla_{0}^{(-0.75,0.75)} \psi\right|_{j(i)}\right)\right) \gamma_{i j(i)}^{\eta}  \tag{4}\\
\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)_{i} & =\sum_{j(i)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{i}+\psi_{j(i)}\right)+\frac{L_{i j(i)}}{8}\left(\left.\mathbf{h}_{i j(i)}^{a} \nabla_{0}^{(0.25,0.75)} \psi\right|_{i}-\left.\mathbf{h}_{i j(i)}^{b} \nabla_{0}^{(0.25,0.75)} \psi\right|_{j(i)}\right)\right) \omega_{i j(i)}^{\eta} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{i j(i)}^{\eta}=\left(n_{i j(i)}^{\eta(1)} \delta l_{i j(i)}^{(1)}+n_{i j(i)}^{\eta(2)} \delta l_{i j(i)}^{(2)}\right) / S\left(\Omega_{i}\right), \omega_{i j(i)}^{\eta}=\left(\tau_{i j(i)}^{\eta(1)} \delta l_{i j(i)}^{(1)}+\tau_{i j(i)}^{\eta(2)} \delta l_{i j(i)}^{(2)}\right) / S\left(\Omega_{i}\right),\left.\nabla_{0}^{\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)} \psi\right|_{i}=$ $\sum_{i j(i)}\left(\beta_{1} \psi_{i}+\beta_{2} \psi_{j(i)}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i j(i)}, \mathbf{n}_{i j(i)}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{i j(i)}^{(k)},(k=1,2)$ are the vectors normal and tangential to the segment of the boundary crossing the edge connecting points i and $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{i}), \delta l_{i j(i)}^{(k)},(k=1,2)$ are the lengths of the segments of the boundary, and $S\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ is the measure of the control volume $\Omega_{i}$ (see Fig. 2).

The time integration of (2) can be performed with different Ordinary Differential Equations (ODE) solvers depending on the time step used in the calculation. In the initial stage system (2) was integrated using the standard 4 -th order Runge-Kutta method with the time step selected to assure that the Courant number was limited by 1. The "l norms", mass conservation error and the normalized indicators of the minimum and maximum values for $h^{\star}$ for tests 1 and 2 (described in [2]) performed on a geodesic mesh with 40962 nodes are shown in the following table:

|  | $l_{1}$ | $l_{2}$ | $l_{\infty}$ | $\mathcal{M}$ | $f_{\min }$ | $f_{\max }$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| test $1(\alpha=\pi / 2)$ | $2.83 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.25 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.50 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.0 | -0.01 | 0.98 |
| test $2(\alpha=0)$ | $4.62 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.68 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.46 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.0 | -0.0002 | 1.00 |
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Fig. 1 Triangles used in the definition of the finite volumes on the sphere.


Fig. 3 Cosine hill after one rotation in flow crossing polar regions (test 1).


Fig. 5 The solution error for geopotential after 5 days for the global steady state nonlinear geostrophic flow (test 2).


Fig. 2 The finite volume associated with a single node $i$.


Fig. 4 Same as in Fig. 3 but the solution depicted by the solid line is that on the cross-section along the North-South plane (the analytical solution is represented by stars) .


Fig. 6 The evolution of "l2" norm for the global steady state nonlinear geostrophic flow.

