WCRP Climate Model Intercomparisons: Where we are and how we got there

Peter J. Gleckler Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (PCMDI)

- A brief background/history of WCRP Model Intercomparison Projects ("MIPs")
- The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) and related MIPs
- The Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF)
- Climate model performance metrics

Brief history: WCRP climate model intercomparisons

1990-1995

NO data standards!

WGNE Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP)

megabytes

- 30 Atmospheric GCMs perform a common experiment (prescribed SST & sea-ice)
- Standard model output and "diagnostic subprojects"

1995-2000

Limited data standards

- AMIP II tighter experimental protocol, more extensive diagnostics
- The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP)

2000 - 2003

The Coupled Model Intercomparison Phase II (CMIP2)

gigabytes

A brief history of climate model intercomparison (ii)

Clearly defined data standards

2003 – Present

The Coupled Model Intercomparison Phase III - CMIP3

terabytes

2009 - Present

The Coupled Model Intercomparison Phase V - CMIP5

petabytes

 Other, more scientifically focused MIPs are formally coordinated with CMIP5 (e.g., CFMIP and PMIP)

CMIP is overseen by the WGCM

CMIP and other model intercomparions facilitate much of the model-based research in IPCC assessments



[AR4 From Summary for Policy Makers]

- 4 of 7 figures in the AR4 "Summary for Policy Makers" are based on CMIP3
- Conclusions based on CMIP3 multimodel ensemble are more robust
 than on "anecdotal" conclusions
 from individual modeling studies
- Research from CMIP5 and related
 MIPs can be expected to be central to the AR5 and future climate change assessments

Advancement in coordinated model evaluation via MIPs

- Growth in data volume reflects a large increase in:
 - the number of users (~1000s),
 - complexity and assortment of experiments,
 - dramatic increase in fields/diagnostics being saved,
 - the amount/diversity of research being performed/published
- CMIP5 timelines
 - 2006-2009: Experimental design
 - 2010-2013: Modeling groups perform simulations
 - 2011-2017+: Research
- Some early perspective on CMIP5:
 - 2006-2013: ~600 journal publications based on CMIP3
 - 2011-2013: ~250 journal publications based on CMIP5

CMIP5 Long-term Experiments



CMIP5 Decadal Predictability/Prediction Experiments



CMIP5 output fields cover all parts of the system and include "high frequency" samples.

- Domains (number of monthly variables*):
 - Atmosphere (60)
 - Aerosols (77)
 - Ocean (69)
 - Ocean biogechemistry (74)
 - Land surface & carbon cycle (58)
 - Sea ice (38)
 - Land ice (14)
 - CFMIP output (~100)
- Temporal sampling (number of variables*)
 - Climatology (22)
 - Annual (57)
 - Monthly (390)
 - Daily (53)
 - 6-hourly (6)
 - 3-hourly (23)

*Not all variables saved for all experiments/time-periods

http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/output_req.html

Key to the success of CMIP3-5

- Sustained support for critical infrastructure
 - Community-developed metadata conventions
 - "Climate-Forecast" metadata convention (CF) http://cf-pcmdi.llnl.gov/
 - Software to ensure data complies to conventions
 Climate Model Output Writer (CMOR) <u>http://www2-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmor</u>
 - Advancing state-of-the-art data delivery methods
 - Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) http:esgf.org/
- A highly collaborative spirit within WCRP

CF Metadata NetCDF Climate and Forecast Metadata Convention	
home documents conformance discussion governance working groups	search
	log in
you are here: home	

CF Metadata

navigation

Home

Documents

Discussion

Governance

Working Groups

Conformance

NetCDF Climate and Forecast (CF) Metadata Convention

The conventions for climate and forecast (CF) metadata are designed to promote the processing and sharing of files created with the [®]NetCDF API. The CF conventions are increasingly gaining acceptance and have been adopted by a number of projects and groups as a primary standard. The conventions define metadata that provide a definitive description of what the data in each variable represents, and the spatial and temporal properties of the data. This enables users of data from different sources to decide which quantities are comparable, and facilitates building applications with powerful extraction, regridding, and display capabilities.

🖂 🖨

The CF conventions generalize and extend the @COARDS conventions.

Here are the slides for a talk that provides an overview of CF. An expository version of this talk is in this article.

Discussion about CF Metadata takes place in two formats:

- 1. CF Metadata Trac, and
- 2. cf-metadata mailing list.

For further explanation of each of these, take a look at the Discussion page.

Quick Links

- CF Conventions Document
- CF Standard Name Table
- CF Metadata Trac
- ©cf-metadata Mailing List Archives
- . CF Conformance Requirements & Recommendations
- . CF Compliance Checker

Use of CF-conventions in CMIP5 (example)

netcdf rlut_Amon_MPI-ESM-LR_historical_r2i1p1_185001-200512 { dimensions:

```
time = UNLIMITED ; // (1872 currently)
lat = 96 ;
lon = 192 ;
bnds = 2 ;
```

Crucial coordinate information

variables:

```
double time(time);
          time:bounds = "time bnds";
          time:units = "days since 1850-1-1 00:00:00";
          time:calendar = "proleptic gregorian";
          time:axis = "T" ;
          time:long name = "time";
          time:standard_name = "time" ;
double time_bnds(time, bnds) ;
double lat(lat);
          lat:bounds = "lat bnds";
          lat:units = "degrees north";
          lat:axis = "Y";
          lat:long_name = "latitude" ;
          lat:standard_name = "latitude" ;
double lat bnds(lat, bnds);
```

Use of CF-conventions in CMIP5 (ii)

Variable description

```
float rlut(time, lat, lon);
rlut:standard name = "toa outgoing longwave flux";
rlut:long name = "TOA Outgoing Longwave Radiation";
rlut:comment = "at the top of the atmosphere (to be compared with satellite
measurements)";
rlut:units = "W m-2";
rlut:cell methods = "time: mean";
rlut:cell measures = "area: areacella";
rlut:history = "2011-06-08T06:43:34Z altered by CMOR: replaced missing value
flag (-1e+20) with standard missing value (1e+20).";
rlut:missing value = 1.e+20f;
rlut: FillValue = 1.e+20f;
rlut:associated files = "baseURL: http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/CMIP5/
dataLocation gridspecFile: gridspec_atmos_fx_MPI-ESM-
LR_historical_r0i0p0.nc areacella: areacella_fx_MPI-ESM-
LR historical r0i0p0.nc";
```

Use of CF-conventions in CMIP5 (iii)

// global attributes:

Not relevant for

observations

:institution = "Max Planck Institute for Meteorology";

:institute id = "MPI-M";

:experiment_id = "historical";

:source = "MPI-ESM-LR 2011; URL: http://svn.zmaw.de/svn/ cosmos/branches/releases/mpi-esm-cmip5/src/mod; atmosphere: ECHAM6 (REV: 4603), T63L47; land: JSBACH (REV: 4603); ocean: MPIOM (REV: 4603), GP15L40; sea ice: 4603; marine bgc: HAMOCC (REV: 4603);";

:model_id = "MPI-ESM-LR" ;

```
:forcing = "GHG Oz SD SI VI LU";
```

```
:parent_experiment_id = "piControl";
```

:parent_experiment_rip = "r1i1p1";

:branch time = 47481.;

:contact = "cmip5-mpi-esm@dkrz.de";

:history = "Model raw output postprocessing with modelling environment (IMDI) at DKRZ: URL: http://svn-mad.zmaw.de/svn/mad/Model/ IMDI/trunk, REV: 3209 2011-06-08T06:43:31Z CMOR rewrote data to comply with CF standards and CMIP5 requirements.";

Search



home

log in

🖃 🔿

Navigation

you are here: home -> cmor

- PCMDI Home Page
- News
- 🗀 CDAT
- CMOR
- Data Portal
- 🗀 About Us
- 🗀 Newsletter
- news
- CDAT Newsletter, June 2007 2007-06-26

CDAT 4.1.2 Released 2006-06-07

CDAT 4.0 Released 2005-11-21

PCMDI Software Portal Released 2005-09-28

CDAT 4.0 Beta Released 2005-09-28

More news.

Climate Model Output Rewriter (CMOR)

The "Climate Model Output Rewriter" (CMOR, pronounced "Seymour") comprises a set of C-based functions, with bindings to both Python and FORTRAN 90, that can be used to produce CF-compliant netCDF files that fulfill the requirements of many of the climate community's standard model experiments. These experiments are collectively referred to as MIP's and include, for example, AMIP, CMIP, CFMIP, PMIP, APE, and IPCC scenario runs. The output resulting from CMOR is "self-describing" and facilitates analysis of results across models.

Much of the metadata written to the output files is defined in MIP-specific tables, typically made available from each MIP's web site. CMOR relies on these tables to provide much of the metadata that is needed in the MIP context, thereby reducing the programming effort required of the individual MIP contributors.

CMOR2

- Download
- Documentation
- Tables
- Mailing list
- RELEASE NOTES

For questions concerning CMOR2, contact the cmor list (Interpretent CMOR2, contact the cmor@lists.llnl.gov).

OId CMOR (CMOR1)

- Download
- Documentation

For questions concerning CMOR, contact Karl Taylor (
taylor13@llnl.gov).

Why all this effort dedicated to organizing data?

- Documents a very large amount of information that is important climate modeling research
- A design target for software developers and climate scientists
- Community-based conventions and data delivery (next subject) greatly facilitates climate change research
- These standardizations facilitate reproducibility in metric and methods, crucial for ensuring the integrity of the climate analysis, but also will maintain them into the future, so that quality can be monitored

CMIP3 data handling: ESG* central archive at PCMDI



 Data shipped to PCMDI on hard disks

- Delayed availability
- Hindered corrections

- Search service via web gateway
- Download from single location (ftp, http, OPeNDAP)
- Fragile dependence on a single server.

*ESG = Earth System Grid

A brief history of the Earth System Grid (ESG): ESG-I, ESG-II, ESG-CET, ESGF

- **ESG-I** funded under DOE's Next Generation Internet (NGI) to address the emerging challenge of climate data 1999 2001 (ANL, LANL, LBNL, LLNL, NCAR, USC/ISI)
 - Data movement and replication; Prototype climate "data browser"; Hottest Infrastructure" Award at SC' 2000.
- ESG-II funded under DOE's Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC), turning climate data sets into community resources 2001-2006 (ORNL addition)
 - Web-based portal, metadata, access to archival storage, security, operational services, 2004 first operational portal CCSM (NCAR), IPCC CMIP3/AR4 (LLNL); 200 TB of data, 4,000 users, 130 TB served.
- ESG-CET funded under DOE's Offices of ASCR and BER to provide climate researchers worldwide with access to: data, information, models, analysis tools, and computational resources required to make sense of enormous climate simulation and observational data sets 2006 – 2011 (PMEL addition)
 - 2010 Awarded by American Meteorological Society (AMS) for leadership which led to a new era in climate system analysis and understanding.
 - CMIP3, CMIP5, CCSM, POP, NARCCAP, C-LAMP, AIRS, MLS, Cloudsat, etc.
 - 25,000 users, 500-800 users active per month, over 1 PB served
- ESGF P2P under the DOE's Office of BER, it is an open consortium of institutions, laboratories and centers around the world that are dedicated to supporting research of climate change, and its environmental and societal impact. (Additional U.S. funding from NASA, NOAA, NSF.) The federation includes: multiple universities and institution partners in the U.S., Europe, Asia, and Australia.

Federation connectedness means the user does not have to know where the data resides and critical data is replicated



ESGF is more than CMIP: federated and integrated data from multiple sources



[†]Additional participants could not be illustrated in this figure.







Welcome to this ESGF P2P Node

Quick Search

Keyword:



- BNU Node
- I CMCC Node
- E DKRZ Node
- E DKRZ CMIP5 Node
- MOAA-GFDL Node
- IPSL Node
- MASA-GSFC Node
- 🔳 NASA-JPL Node 🗟
- Image: Model Image
- INTERSC Node INTERSC Node INTERSC Node INTERSCRIPTING
- Image: ORNL Node Image: Node Image: ORNL Node Image: Orner
- 🗾 PCMDI Node 📓

About esgf-pcmdi-9

The PCMDI mission is to develop improved methods and tools for the diagnosis and intercomparison of general circulation models (GCMs) that simulate the global climate. The need for innovative analysis of GCM climate simulations is apparent, as increasingly more complex models are developed, while the disagreements among these simulations and relative to climate observations remain significant and poorly understood. The nature and causes of these disagreements must be accounted for in a systematic fashion in order to confidently use GCMs for simulation of put ative global climate change.



Quick Links

- Create Account
- MyProxyLogon
- Expert Search (XML)
- Wget Script Generator
- ESGF aggregated RSS feed is
- Contact ESGF

Instructions

- ESGF Full User Guide
- Search Help
- Search Controlled Vocabulary
- Wget Scripts FAQ
- Wget Scripting
- Tutorial: Download Strategies
- Using Globus Online
- Subscribing to RSS Notification

(supported browsers)

Privacy Policy & Legal Notice | Contact ESGF





Welcome to this ESGF P2P Node

Quick Search	





Search



• 🔳 ANL Node 📾

- 🌐 BADC Node 📷
- 🔤 BNU Node 🗟
- 🚺 CMCC Node 🗟
- 📕 DKRZ Node 📓
- E DKRZ CMIP5 Node
- 📕 NOAA-GFDL Node 🗟
- IPSL Node
- MASA-GSFC Node
- 🗾 NASA-JPL Node 🗟
- Image: Image and the image and
- Image: New York Stress Node
- 🔳 ORNL Node 🗟
- 🗾 PCMDI Node 🗟

Guest User ESGF P2P Version 1.4.2-brocklyn_heights-release-master (fe-2.3.2)







ESGF Governance is now defined

- ESGF Review Board (ERB) consists* of institutions and individuals whose goal is to advance ESGF technology by providing development direction and oversight. While ESGF's open-source nature facilitates progression via its many contributors and collaborations, the ERB provides synergy and cohesion among various development efforts, thus ensuring changes benefit the community as a whole.
- The ERB serves the following functions:
 - Maintains a roadmap of ESGF, including long-term plans
 - Makes decisions on high-impact code changes to ESGF

The ERB is composed of:

(1) steering committee (includes representation from funding agencies)

(2) executive committee responsible for meeting sponsors/stakeholders/community and setting and prioritizing work

(3) technical committee, responsible for the development of the system architecture, management of the development lifecycle and scheduling releases.

ESGF governance document available via http://esgf.org/ or by contacting D. Williams

*Committees are now being populated

Courtesy D. N. Williams, AIMS

WCRP efforts to establish routine performance metrics for climate models



The climate modeling community does not yet have routine performance metrics

Courtesy M.Miller/A.Simmons

What is usually meant by climate model "metrics"?

- "Metrics", as used here, are succinct and objective measures of the quality of a model simulation – usually a scalar quantity
- Quantify errors, usually *not* designed to diagnose reasons for model errors
- Skill in simulating things we have observed: "performance metrics"
- Model reliability for application (e.g., "projection reliability metrics")
 - How accurate are model projections of climate change?
 - Extremely valuable... and... extremely difficult

Questions motivating routine benchmarks for climate models

- Of direct concern to the WGNE and WGCM:
 - Are models improving?
 - Do some models consistently agree with observations better than others?
 - What do models simulate robustly, and what not?
- Related research drivers:
 - How does skill in simulating observed climate relate to projection credibility?
 - Can we justify weighting model projections based on metrics of skill?

First steps... focus on annual cycle (which is in widespread use)

Standard annual cycle:

- 15-20 large- to global- scale statistical or "broad-brush" metrics
- Domains: Global, tropical, NH/SH extra-tropics
- 20 year climatologies: Annual mean, 4 seasons
- Routine metrics: bias, centered RMS, MAE, correlation, standard deviation
- Field examples: OLR, T850, q, SST, SSH, sea-ice extent
- Observations: multiple for most cases

Exploring an extended set of metrics, coordinating with other working groups (in progress):

- ENSO (CLIVAR Pacific Panel)
- Monsoons (CLIVAR AAMP)
- MJO (YOTC Task force)
- Carbon cycle in emission-driven ESMs (ILAMB)

Some simulated fields agree much more closely with observations better than others

Taylor diagram for CMIP3 annual cycle global climatology (1980-1999)



Sea Level Pressure: ERA40 reference Total precipitation rate: CMAP reference Total Cloud Cover: ISCCP reference LW radiation TOA (OLR): CERES reference Reflected TOA Shortwave: ERBE reference Air Temperature (850 hPa): ERA40 reference Zonal Wind (850 hPa): ERA40 reference

- Variable dependent skill
- Multi-model mean "superiority"

The essential role of observations for climate model performance metrics

- The quest for traceability:
 - Knowing the data came from the appropriate source (ideally the data experts)
 - Accurate information concerning the data product version
 - Documentation on the data product that is relevant for model analysts

- Quantifying observational uncertainty remains a key challenge:
 - For some fields, model errors remain >> than observational uncertainty, but not so in many cases
 - Although inadequate, the common path is to characterize obs uncertainty by using multiple products
 - Increasingly, model analysts expect useful quantification of uncertainties

Possible advancements for a community-based effort to establish routine benchmarks for climate models

- The WGNE/WGCM metrics panel is developing an analysis package to be shared with all leading modeling groups. This will include:
 - simple analysis routines
 - observational data
 - database of metrics results from all available climate models
- This will enable modeling groups to compare the results from other models within their model development process.