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Some basic reminders about the Grand Challenge:

Activities organised around four questions:

1. What role does convection play in cloud feedbacks?
2. What controls the position, strength and variability of storm tracks?
3. What controls the position, strength and variability of the tropical rain belts?
4. What role does convective aggregation play in climate?

In addition to the key question of the climate sensitivity, which we addressed through two community assessments: (i) Climate Sensitivity; (ii) Aerosol Forcing.

Organised in three phases:

1. 2012-2015: Definitional
2. 2016-2020: Mature
3. 2021-2022: Wrap-up — we’re wrapping up.
Our “lighthouses”

• The assessments brought together multiple lines of evidence around aerosol forcing to meaningfully, and for the first time, narrow the uncertainty surrounding central quantities of climate change.

EUREC4A (see the film) developed and exploited new techniques and experimental strategies to quantify how clouds couple to circulation in ways that were not previously known, guiding the development of a new generation of earth-system models and observations.
What about the four questions?

Question 1: What role does convection play in cloud feedbacks?
Question 2: What controls the position, strength and variability of storm tracks?
Question 3: What controls the position, strength and variability of the tropical rain belts?
Question 4: What role does convective aggregation play in climate?

• Communities (from workshops, conference sessions, schools, etc) have developed around each of these, supporting and initiating model intercomparison activities, field studies, research programmes, and individual research.

• Some definitive answers (EUREC4A, but also from modelling) are emerging for Q1.

• Understanding Q4 is seen as central to further progress on Q1 & Q3, is a major motivation for new approaches to modelling (SR-ESMs), and is motivating a new generation of field studies (e.g., TOOC).

• Due to the pandemic, and given the previous point, we have decided to forgo a stock taking in favor of a transition which pivots about the question of convective aggregation (Q4), as it appears best poised to animate diverse WCRP activities (Lighthouses on Digital Earth’s, GEWEX).
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Why Q4 (convective aggregation) is interesting for WCRP

- Convection organization (not just for shallow convection) strongly influences Earth’s energy budget.
- Precipitation doesn’t form in clouds, but cloud clusters.
- Hydrological extremes are often expressions of convective clustering (deep and shallow alike).
- Convective aggregation determines how effectively clouds coupled to circulation.
- Convection aggregates less over land than over the ocean.
- CMIP (like) models are built on the assumption that it doesn’t matter.

Q4 could serve as a lightning rod for activities in GEWEX
Why WCRP is interesting for efforts to understand convective aggregation

• Its name.
• Its ability to bring people together.
• Its international cachet (particularly in countries with less scientific infrastructure).
• Its organizational support.

Mich Rixen: a hidden hero of our grand challenge …
How could WCRP have been more helpful for our grand challenge?

1. WCRP is requested to endorse and adopt the Aerosol Forcing Assessment as an official WCRP activity, with report, similar to what it is doing for the Climate Sensitivity Assessment.
2. Support from the core projects in the form of coordinated activities in support of EUREC4A will increase its impact (ESA, EUMETNET).
3. WCRP is requested to become more active, also with national governments, to create funding mechanisms, around the use of space-borne infrastructure in Europe.
4. WCRP, and the take up of what we are doing after our GSC comes to an end, will be more effective if it is more question and idea, and less activity, driven... here we see untapped possibilities on questions pertaining to Palaeo Climate.

WCRP’s blessings (and Mich’s efforts) proved very beneficial for the success of our grand challenge.
Blessings are fine ...

but people need stories.