CLIMATE RESEARCH IN SERVICE TO SOCIETY



A temperature dependent viscoelastic damage model for creep fracture in ice sheets

Ravindra Duddu¹, Haim Waisman¹, Jeremy N. Bassis², Raymond Tuminaro³ Department of Civil Engineering and Engineering Mechanics, Columbia University; 610 Seeley W. Mudd Building; 500 West 120th Street, Mail Code 4709; New York, NY 10027 ² Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic and Space Sciences, University of Michigan; 2529 Space Research Building; 2455 Hayward St.; Ann Arbor, MI 28109 Computational Math/Algorithms, Sandia National Laboratories; PO Box 969, MS 9159, Livermore, CA 94551

Abstract

We propose a three-dimensional thermo-viscoelastic constitutive damage model law for ice creep, suited for ice in polar regions. The model has been validated by published laboratory experimental data and is implemented in the commercially available finite element code ABAQUS by adopting a strain-based algorithm in a Lagrangian description. The model is used to investigate conditions that enable surface, englacial and basal crevasse formation resulting from different boundary conditions applied to an idealized rectangular slab of ice in contact with the ocean.

Viscoelastic Constitutive Model

• Additive decomposition: Assuming small strains [1],

$$\epsilon_{kl} = \epsilon_{kl}^{\rm e} + \epsilon_{kl}^{\rm d} + \epsilon_{kl}^{\rm v}, \qquad (1)$$

where the superscripts e, d, and v denote the elastic, delayed elastic and viscous components, respectively.

• **Stress-strain relations:** The strain components are given by,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{kl} = \frac{E}{3(1-2\nu)} \epsilon^{e}_{ii} \delta_{kl} + \frac{E}{(1+\nu)} \left(\epsilon^{e}_{kl} - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{e}_{ii} \delta_{kl} \right), \qquad (2)$$

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{kl}^{d} = A\left(\frac{3}{2}K\tilde{\sigma}_{kl}^{dev} - \epsilon_{kl}^{d}\right), \qquad (3)$$

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{kl}^{\rm v} = \frac{3}{2} K_N \left(\frac{3}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_{mn}^{\rm dev} \tilde{\sigma}_{mn}^{\rm dev} \right)^{(N-1)/2} \tilde{\sigma}_{kl}^{\rm dev}, \qquad (4)$$

where *E* and ν are the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio, respectively; K_N and N are the viscous parameters; A and K are delayed elastic material parameters; $ilde{\sigma}^{ ext{dev}}$ is the deviatoric part of the effective stress denoted by $\tilde{\sigma}$.

• **Temperature dependence:** The relation for K_N is,

$$K_N(T) = K_N(T_m) \exp\left(\frac{-Q}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_m}\right)\right),$$
 (5)

where Q is the activation energy for creep; R is the universal gas constant or the Boltzmann's constant; *T* is the temperature and the reference temperature, $T_{\rm m} = -10^{\circ} {\rm C}$.

Model Calibration





(a) Ice under uniaxial tension

(b) Ice under uniaxial compression

The model parameters E, ν, A, K, K_N, N describe the constitutive behavior; $\alpha, \beta, B, r, k_{\sigma}$, and γ describe the orthotropic damage evolution; and Q, U describe the temperature dependence. Herein, these parameters are calibrated from the experimental curves of strain rate vs. time for uniaxial tension, log-log plots of strain rate vs. time for uniaxial compression. The log-log plots of octahedral shear strain rate vs. octahedral shear strain are used to calibrate the temperature dependence.

References [1] D. G. Karr and K. Choi. A three-dimensional constitutive damage model for polycrystalline ice. Mech. of Mat., 8(1):55-66, 1989.

- [3] R. Duddu and H. Waisman. A temperature dependent creep damage model for polycrystalline ice. Mech. of Mat., in review.

email: rduddu@gmail.com, waisman@civil.columbia.edu

Continuum Damage Model

• **Effective stress concept:** We define a transformation,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{ij} = M_{ijkl} \sigma_{kl}, \qquad (6)$$

$$M_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \omega_{jk} \delta_{il}), \quad \omega_{ik} (\delta_{kj} - D_{kj}) = \delta_{ij}. \qquad (7)$$

where M is the damage effect tensor, D is the damage tensor and σ denotes the Cauchy stress tensor.

• **Damage rate:** In a Lagrangian framework assuming small strains,

$$\dot{D}_{ij} = \begin{cases} f_{ij}, & \text{if } \max\{\epsilon_{ij}\} \ge \epsilon_{th}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \max\{\epsilon_{ij}\} < \epsilon_{th}. \end{cases}$$
(8)

where f_{ij} is the damage evolution function; and ϵ_{th} is a strain threshold for damage initiation.

• **Damage evolution function:** The generalized form reads [2, 3],

$$f_{ij} = B\langle \chi \rangle^r \left(\omega_{mn} \xi_m^{(1)} \xi_n^{(1)} \right)^{k_\sigma} \left[(1 - \gamma) \,\delta_{ij} + \gamma \xi_i^{(1)} \xi_j^{(1)} \right], \,(9)$$

$$\chi = \alpha \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)} + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{\sigma}^{\text{dev}} \tilde{\sigma}^{\text{dev}} + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \tilde{\sigma}_{ij} \,, \,(9)$$

$$\chi = \alpha \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)} + \beta \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{\sigma}_{mn}^{\text{dev}} \tilde{\sigma}_{mn}^{\text{dev}} + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \tilde{\sigma}_{kk}, \qquad (10)$$

where $B, r, k_{\sigma}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are model parameters; $\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}$ is the maximum eigenvalue of $\tilde{\sigma}$; $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{(1)}$ is the eigenvector associated with $\tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}$; χ is the Hayhurst's equivalent stress measure and a function of the effective stress tensor, $\tilde{\sigma}$; the Macaulay brackets are defined such that, $\langle \chi \rangle = \chi$ if $\chi \ge 0$ and $\langle \chi \rangle = 0$ if $\chi < 0$.

• Tension-compression asymmetry: The different behavior of ice under compression and tension is captured by k_{σ} as,

$$k_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \begin{cases} [k_1 + k_2 |\sigma_{ii}|], & \text{for} \quad 0 \le \sigma_{ii} \le 1 \text{ MPa}, \\ -[k_3 + k_4 |\sigma_{ii}|], & \text{for} \quad -3 \text{ MPa} \le \sigma_{ii} < 0. \end{cases}$$
(11)

where k_1, k_2, k_3 , and k_4 are determined using a linear fit.

• **Temperature dependence:** The relation *B* is,

$$B(T) = B(T_{\rm m}) \exp\left(\frac{-U}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{\rm m}}\right)\right), \qquad (12)$$

where *U* is the activation energy for damage.

(c) Temperature dependence of K_N and B

[2] S. Murakami, M. Kawai, and H. Rong. Finite-element analysis of creep crack-growth by a local approach. Intl. J. of Mech. Sci., 30(7):491-502, 1988.

Model Validation



(d) Ice under uniaxial loading $|\sigma| = 0.8$ MPa



From figure (d), it is evident that after 140 hours ice under tension exhibits sudden rupture whereas no such failure is observed under compression, even at a later time. Figure (e) shows the good agreement between model results and experiments for the four point bending test in the initial stages of creep. From figure (f), it is apparent that the model is able to capture the increase in the strength of ice under biaxial compression, quite well.

Ongoing Work



(g)Uniaxial tension - pure mode I (quadrilaterals)

The mesh dependence of numerical results using the current local damage approach is clear from the above figures (g), (h) and (i). In order to ameliorate the numerical scheme and reduce its mesh dependence we are currently pursuing a nonlocal approach for damage evaluation. In the idealized ice slab simulations, three external forces are considered: (1) Gravity as a distributed body force; (2) Water pressure as surface pressure near the ocean-ice boundary; and (3) A stretching horizontal tensile stress for the expanding ice slab. The bottom boundary condition can be varied from free slip to no slip using spring elements at the bottom boundary, as indicated in figure (j).









(k) No slip at the bottom

The simulations results in figures (k) and (l) suggest that the surface crevasses are relatively unaffected by the bottom boundary conditions. The effect of the bottom boundary condition on basal cracks needs to be investigated.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the funding support provided by the Department of Energy under Grant #DE-SC0002137 (the ASCR SciDAC ISICLES initiative of DOE).



(f) Ice under biaxial compression

(l) Free slip at the bottom