

Attribution of weather and climate-related extreme events

Peter Stott, Myles Allen, Nikolaos Christidis, Martin Hoerling, Randall Dole, Chris Huntingford, Pardeep Pall, Judith Perlwitz, Dáithí Stone



• What is the problem ?



- What is the problem ?
- What do we propose ?



- What is the problem ?
- What is the solution ?
- Is it possible ?



- What is the problem ?
- What is the solution ?
- Is it possible ?
- What are the scientific challenges that need to be overcome to make this a reality ?

Jul 2011 Divisional Ranks

National Climatic Data Center/NESDIS/NOAA



Warmest months on record in Oklahoma and Texas



2010 Moscow beat previous record for July temperatures by 2.5°C





Record breaking 10.8 inches of rain fell in Peshawar, Pakistan during 24 hours in July 2010





Thailand floods October 2011



© Crown copyright Met Office



British Isles on Christmas Eve, 2010

December, 2010







Explaining extreme weather events from a climate perspective

Met Office Increasing - Observations consistent with a warming world



"The frequency of heavy precipitation event has increased over most land areas, consistent with warming and observed increases of atmospheric water vapour." IPCC WGI AR4 SPM



Trend 1951 - 2003 contribution from very wet days



But does this mean we can blame every extreme weather event on climate change ?





Pakistan flooding, 2010



UK flooding, July 2007 © Crown copyright Met Office



Moscow heatwave, 2010



Cold winters, UK, 2009, 2010

 Consistent with the expected effects of climate change ?





Pakistan flooding, 2010



UK flooding, July 2007 © Crown copyright Met Office



Moscow heatwave, 2010



Cold winters, UK, 2009, 2010

- Consistent with the expected effects of climate change ?
- When we are uncertain whether some types of weather event could become less not more likely in future under continued climate change ?





Pakistan flooding, 2010



UK flooding, July 2007 © Crown copyright Met Office



Moscow heatwave, 2010



Cold winters, UK, 2009, 2010

 "It is not possible to attribute an individual weather event"





Pakistan flooding, 2010



UK flooding, July 2007 © Crown copyright Met Office



Moscow heatwave, 2010



Cold winters, UK, 2009, 2010

- "It is not possible to attribute an individual weather event"
- But isn't climate change at least partially to blame for some of these events ?





Pakistan flooding, 2010



UK flooding, July 2007 © Crown copyright Met Office



Moscow heatwave, 2010



Cold winters, UK, 2009, 2010

 Mis-attribution can lead to public confusion and poor adaptation decisions



What do we propose ?

© Crown copyright Met Office



• Provide physically-based assessments of recent extreme weather and climate-related events



- Provide physically-based assessments of recent extreme weather and climate-related events
- Would attribute user-relevant measures such as
 - The probability of the event (exceeding a critical threshold)
 - The magnitude of the event



- Provide physically-based assessments of recent extreme weather and climate-related events
- Would attribute user-relevant measures such as
 - The probability of the event (exceeding a critical threshold)
 - The magnitude of the event
- To anthropogenic and natural drivers



- Provide physically-based assessments of recent extreme weather and climate-related events
- Would attribute user-relevant measures such as
 - The probability of the event (exceeding a critical threshold)
 - The magnitude of the event
- To anthropogenic and natural drivers
- Would need to be
 - Timely and regular
 - Scientifically robust and reliable
 - Authoritative



Is it possible to make an attribution statement about an individual weather event ?



- European heat wave of 2003
- The cold US of 2008
- Moscow heatwave of 2010
- Cold European winter 2009/2010
- UK flooding in 2000



Fraction of Attributable Risk

Fractional change in the likelihood of exceeding a temperature threshold attributable to a particular causal factor

(Allen, Nature, 2003)





Human contribution to the European heatwave of 2003

Met Office Stott et al, 2004



Land Surface Temperature difference [K]

10



Human contribution to the European heatwave of 2003

Met Office Stott et al, 2004



Land Surface Temperature difference [K]





Human influence has very likely at least doubled the probability of European summer temperatures as hot as 2003

Land Surface Temperature difference [K] 2000 1900 n copyright Met Office 1950





The European summers of 2003 and 2006 could be normal by 2040 and cool by 2060





Anthropogenic greenhouse gas contribution to flood risk in England and Wales in Autumn 2000 Pall et al, Nature, 2011.

Return period (years)



•The wettest autumn in England & Wales since records began in 1766

• In 9-out-of-10 cases our model results indicate 20th century anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions increased England & Wales flood risk in Autumn 2000 by more than 20%, and in 2-out-of-3 cases by more than 90%.





Was there a basis for anticipating the 2010 Russian heat wave?

Dole et al., GRL, 2011

Moscow Daily Average Temperature



Surface Temp Trend: 1880-2009







A regional cold event mitigated by long term climate warming



Cattiaux etal, GRL, 2010



What are the challenges of providing regular, timely, and robust attribution assessments ?



Model fidelity

Attribution of Climate-related Events group (ACE)

Workshop 17-18 August, 2010 Hosted by NOAA. Supported by UKFCO.



Development of a prototype Operational Attribution System

•HadGEM3-A based system

•Ensembles with observed SSTs

•Ensembles with anthropogenic component of SST change removed

•Part of ACE coordinated set of experiments also endorsed as key project by CLIVAR C20C

Development of reliability statistics for 1960-2010 hindcast period





Remove anthropogenic component of sea surface temperature change





Remove anthropogenic component of sea surface temperature change





Remove anthropogenic component of sea surface temperature change





Importance of Model **Reliability : Example of** Pakistan Floods July 2010 Pakistan Floods 0.4 0.3 Pakistan Floods HodGEM1 Probability 2.0 HodCM3 Poo 41,5 HadGEM2 0.2 Т × 0.5 0.1 0.0 -3 -4 -2-1 0 FAR 0.0

Probability of getting higher rainfall than observed in 2010

P₀: World that might have been

HadGEM1 SST change HadCM3 SST change HadGEM2 SST change Convolution (dashed)

P₁: Current World

P1 P0



Importance of Model **Reliability : Example of** Pakistan Floods July 2010 Pakistan Floods 0.4 0.3 ²robability 0.2 × 0.0 0.1 0.0 Ρ, P_p

PAK

0.6

G.4 Forecard Protectility

DΖ

93

1.0

Probability of getting higher rainfall than observed in 2010

P₀: World that might have been

HadGEM1 SST change HadCM3 SST change HadGEM2 SST change Convolution (dashed)

P₁: Current World



Attribution of Climate-related Events (ACE)

Lessons learned and future research needs to underpin an attribution service

- A clear definition of user requirements
- Clarity about question being asked
 - For example probability of exceeding a critical threshold vs magnitude of event
- Importance of timely and scientifically rigorous assessments
- Accurate and lengthy historical records
- Physical understanding to allow reliable statistical inferences
- Model verification
- Links to prediction



Relevance of attribution assessments of individual weather and climaterelated events

Public interest



Litigation



Adaptation



Geoengineering



© Crown copyright Met Office



An attribution service providing authoritative attribution assessments of extreme weather and climate-related events

- "Climate scientists, too, have an obligation to provide answers to queries (or doubts) as to how global warming influences our weather."
- "An attribution service with ample resources running in near real time, could prevent scientists' answers to those questions seeming either too cautious or too speculative".
- "The service's broad integration into people's daily lives ... would be a a good way to see greater acceptance of climate scientists' actual services to society."
- "Attribution is only as good as the models and statistics that power it" [Nature editorial, 8 September, 2011]
- There is much science to be done and a lot to gain from challenging our models and our understanding in this